Understanding Cryptography – A Textbook for Students and Practitioners

by Christof Paar and Jan Pelzl

www.crypto-textbook.com

Chapter 6 – Introduction to Public-Key Cryptography

ver. October 6, 2024

Understanding

A Textbook for Students and

D Springer

These slides were originally prepared by Timo Kasper and Christof Paar. Later, they were modified by Tomas Fabsic for purposes of teaching I-ZKRY at FEI STU.

Homework

- Do the Homework from the presentation on Modes of Encryption.
- Read Chapter 6.
- Solve problems from the exercise set no. 4 and submit them to AIS by <u>14.10.2024 23:59</u>.

Some legal stuff (sorry): Terms of Use

- The slides can used free of charge. All copyrights for the slides remain with Christof Paar and Jan Pelzl.
- The title of the accompanying book "Understanding Cryptography" by Springer and the author's names must remain on each slide.
- If the slides are modified, appropriate credits to the book authors and the book title must remain within the slides.
- It is not permitted to reproduce parts or all of the slides in printed form whatsoever without written consent by the authors.

- Symmetric Cryptography Revisited
- Principles of Asymmetric Cryptography
- Practical Aspects of Public-Key Cryptography
- Important Public-Key Algorithms
- Essential Number Theory for Public-Key Algorithms

- Symmetric Cryptography Revisited
- Principles of Asymmetric Cryptography
- Practical Aspects of Public-Key Cryptography
- Important Public-Key Algorithms
- Essential Number Theory for Public-Key Algorithms

Symmetric Cryptography revisited



Two properties of symmetric (secret-key) crypto-systems:

- The same secret key K is used for encryption and decryption
- Encryption and Decryption are very similar (or even identical) functions

Symmetric Cryptography: Analogy



Safe with a strong lock, only Alice and Bob have a copy of the key

- Alice encrypts \rightarrow locks message in the safe with her key
- Bob decrypts \rightarrow uses his copy of the key to open the safe

Symmetric Cryptography: Shortcomings

- AES is very secure, fast & widespread but:
- Key distribution problem: The secret key must be transported securely
- Number of keys: In a network, each pair of users requires an individual key



Alice or Bob can cheat each other, because they have identical keys.
 Example: Alice can claim that she never ordered a TV on-line from Bob (he could have fabricated her order). To prevent this: "non-repudiation"

- Symmetric Cryptography Revisited
- Principles of Asymmetric Cryptography
- Practical Aspects of Public-Key Cryptography
- Important Public-Key Algorithms
- Essential Number Theory for Public-Key Algorithms

Idea behind Asymmetric Cryptography



1976: first publication of such an algorithm by Whitfield Diffie and Martin Hellman, and also by Ralph Merkle.

Asymmetric (Public-Key) Cryptography

Principle: "Split up" the key



 \rightarrow During the key generation, a key pair K_{pub} and K_{pr} is computed

Chapter 6 of Understanding Cryptography by Christof Paar and Jan Pelzl

Asymmetric Cryptography: Analogy

Safe with public lock and private lock:



- Alice deposits (encrypts) a message with the not secret public key K_{pub}
- Only Bob has the secret private key K_{pr} to retrieve (decrypt) the message

- Symmetric Cryptography Revisited
- Principles of Asymmetric Cryptography
- Practical Aspects of Public-Key Cryptography
- Important Public-Key Algorithms
- Essential Number Theory for Public-Key Algorithms





 \rightarrow Key Distribution Problem solved *

*) at least for now; public keys need to be authenticated, cf.Chptr. 14 of Understanding Cryptogr.

Security Mechanisms of Public-Key Cryptography

Here are main mechanisms that can be realized with asymmetric cryptography:

- **Key Distribution** (e.g., Diffie-Hellman key exchange, RSA) without a preshared secret (key)
- Nonrepudiation and Digital Signatures (e.g., RSA, DSA or ECDSA) to provide message integrity
- Identification, using challenge-response protocols with digital signatures
- Encryption (e.g., RSA / Elgamal)
 Disadvantage: Computationally very intensive (1000 times slower than symmetric Algorithms!)

Basic Key Transport Protocol 1/2

In practice: Hybrid systems, incorporating asymmetric and symmetric algorithms

1. Key exchange (for symmetric schemes) and **digital signatures** are performed with (slow) **asymmetric** algorithms

 Encryption of data is done using (fast) symmetric ciphers, e.g., block ciphers or stream ciphers

Basic Key Transport Protocol 2/2

Example: Hybrid protocol with AES as the symmetric cipher



17/29

Chapter 6 of Understanding Cryptography by Christof Paar and Jan Pelzl

- Symmetric Cryptography Revisited
- Principles of Asymmetric Cryptography
- Practical Aspects of Public-Key Cryptography
- Important Public-Key Algorithms
- Essential Number Theory for Public-Key Algorithms

How to build Public-Key Algorithms

Asymmetric schemes are based on a "one-way function" f():

- Computing y = f(x) is computationally easy
- Computing $x = f^{1}(y)$ is computationally infeasible

One way functions are based on **mathematically hard problems**.

Three main families:

• Factoring integers (RSA, ...):

Given a composite integer *n*, find its prime factors (Multiply two primes: easy)

- Discrete Logarithm (Diffie-Hellman, Elgamal, DSA, ...):
 Given a, y and m, find x such that a^x = y mod m
 (Exponentiation a^x: easy)
- Elliptic Curves (EC) (ECDH, ECDSA): Generalization of discrete logarithm

Note: The problems are considered mathematically hard, but no proof exists (so far).

Key Lengths and Security Levels

Symmetric	ECC	RSA, DL
128 Bit	256 Bit	≈ 3072 Bit

- Symmetric Cryptography Revisited
- Principles of Asymmetric Cryptography
- Practical Aspects of Public-Key Cryptography
- Important Public-Key Algorithms
- Essential Number Theory for Public-Key Algorithms

Euclidean Algorithm 1/2

- Compute the greatest common divisor gcd (r_0 , r_1) of two integers r_0 and r_1
- gcd is easy for small numbers:
 - 1. factor r_0 and r_1
 - 2. gcd = highest common factor
- Example:

 $r_0 = 84 = 2 2 3 \cdot 7$ $r_1 = 30 = 2 3 5$

 \rightarrow The gcd is the product of all common prime factors:

 $2 \cdot 3 = 6 = gcd (30,84)$

• But: Factoring is complicated (and often infeasible) for large numbers

Euclidean Algorithm 2/2

- Observation: $gcd(r_0, r_1) = gcd(r_0 r_1, r_1)$
- \rightarrow Core idea:
 - Reduce the problem of finding the gcd of two given numbers to that of the gcd of two smaller numbers
 - Repeat process recursively
 - The final $gcd(r_{i}, 0) = r_{i}$ is the answer to the original problem !

Example: *gcd* (r_{0} , r_{1}) for $r_{0} = 27$ and $r_{1} = 21$



 $gcd(27, 21) = gcd(1 \cdot 21 + 6, 21) = gcd(21, 6)$

$$gcd(21, 6) = gcd(3 \cdot 6 + 3, 6) = gcd(6, 3)$$

$$gcd(6, 3) = gcd(2 \cdot 3 + 0, 3) = gcd(3, 0) = 3$$

Note: very efficient method even for long numbers:
 The complexity grows **linearly** with the number of bits

For the full Euclidean Algorithm see Chapter 6 in Understanding Cryptography.

Extended Euclidean Algorithm 1/2

- Extend the Euclidean algorithm to find modular inverse of $r_1 \mod r_0$
- EEA computes *s*,*t*, and the gcd : $gcd(r_0, r_1) = s \cdot r_0 + t \cdot r_1$
- Take the relation **mod** r_o $s \cdot r_0 + t \cdot r_1 = 1$

 $s \cdot 0 + t \cdot r_1 \equiv 1 \mod r_0$

 $r_1 \cdot t \equiv 1 \mod r_0$

 \rightarrow Compare with the definition of modular inverse: *t* is the inverse of $r_1 \mod r_0$

- Note that $gcd(r_{0}, r_{1}) = 1$ in order for the inverse to exist
- **Recursive formulae** to calculate *s* and *t* in each step

 \rightarrow "magic table" for r, s, t and a quotient q to derive the inverse with pen and paper

(cf. Section 6.3.2 in Understanding Cryptography)

Extended Euclidean Algorithm 2/2

Example:

- Calculate the modular Inverse of 12 mod 67:
- From magic table follows $-5 \cdot 67 + 28 \cdot 12 = 1$
- Hence 28 is the inverse of 12 mod 67.

•	Check:	$28 \cdot 12 = 336 \equiv 1 \mod 67$	

For the full Extended Euclidean Algorithm see Chapter 6 in Understanding Cryptography.

Euler's Phi Function 1/2

- New problem, important for public-key systems, e.g., RSA: Given the set of the *m* integers $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1\}$, How many numbers in the set are relatively prime to m?
- Answer: Euler's Phi function Φ(m)
- **Example** for the sets $\{0,1,2,3,4,5\}$ (*m*=6), and $\{0,1,2,3,4\}$ (*m*=5)

- gcd(0,6) = 6gcd(0,5) = 5gcd(1,6) = 1gcd(1,5) = 1gcd(2,6) = 2gcd(2,5) = 1gcd(3,6) = 3gcd(3,5) = 1gcd(4,6) = 2gcd(4,5) = 1gcd(5,6) = 1
- \rightarrow 1 and 5 relatively prime to *m*=6, hence $\Phi(6) = 2$
- $\rightarrow \phi(5) = 4$

• Testing one gcd per number in the set is **extremely slow for large** *m*.

Euler's Phi Function 2/2

If canonical factorization of *m* known:
 (where *p_i* primes and *e_i* positive integers)

• then calculate Phi according to the relation

$$m = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_n^{e_n}$$

 $\Phi(m) = \prod_{i=1}^n (p_i^{e_i} - p_i^{e_i-1})$

- Phi especially easy for $e_i = 1$, e.g., $m = p \cdot q \rightarrow \Phi(m) = (p-1) \cdot (q-1)$
- Example m = 899 = 29 ⋅ 31:
 Φ(899) = (29-1) ⋅ (31-1) = 28 ⋅ 30 = 840
- Note: Finding Φ(m) is computationally easy if factorization of m is known (otherwise the calculation of Φ(m) becomes computationally infeasible for large numbers)

Fermat's Little Theorem

- Given a prime p and an integer a: a^p = a (mod p)
 Can be rewritten as a^{p-1} = 1 (mod p) in case gcd(a,p)=1.
- Use: Find modular inverse, if p is prime. Rewrite to a (a^{p-2}) ≡ 1 (mod p)
 Comparing with definition of the modular inverse a (a⁻¹) ≡ 1 mod m
 → a⁻¹ ≡ a^{p-2} (mod p) is the modular inverse modulo a prime p

Example:
$$a = 2, p = 7$$

 $a^{p-2} = 2^5 = 32 \equiv 4 \mod 7$
verify: $2 \cdot 4 \equiv 1 \mod 7$

Fermat's Little Theorem works only modulo a prime p

Euler's Theorem

- Generalization of Fermat's little theorem to any integer modulus
- Given two relatively prime integers **a** and **m**: $a^{\Phi(m)} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$
- **Example**: *m*=12, *a*=5
 - 1. Calculate Euler's Phi Function

$$\Phi(12) = \Phi(2^2 \cdot 3) = (2^2 - 2^1)(3^1 - 3^0) = (4 - 2)(3 - 1) = 4$$

2. Verify Euler's Theorem

$$5^{\Phi(12)} = 5^4 = 25^2 = 625 \equiv 1 \mod 12$$

Fermat's little theorem = special case of Euler's Theorem

• for a prime
$${oldsymbol p}$$
: ${oldsymbol \Phi}(p)=(p^1-p^0)=p-1$

→ Fermat:
$$a^{\Phi(p)} = a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

Lessons Learned

- Public-key algorithms have **capabilities that symmetric ciphers don't have**, in particular digital signature and key establishment functions.
- Public-key algorithms are **computationally intensive** (a nice way of saying that they are *slow*), and hence are poorly suited for bulk data encryption.
- Only three families of public-key schemes are widely used. This is considerably fewer than in the case of symmetric algorithms.
- The **extended Euclidean algorithm** allows us to compute **modular inverses** quickly, which is important for almost all public-key schemes.
- Euler's phi function gives us the number of elements smaller than an integer *n* that are relatively prime to *n*. This is important for the RSA crypto scheme.