

Jednoduchá sémantika RDF

Zhrnutie

Výklad k W3C štandardom

- RDF 1.1 Concepts and abstract syntax <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-concepts>
- RDF 1.1 Semantics <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt>

Opakovanie

Zhrnutie z predchádzajúcej prednášky - formálna definícia RDF-grafu

Pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-concepts/#section-rdf-graph>

Základná terminológia

- **slovník**

A *name* is any IRI or literal. A typed literal contains two [names](#): itself and its internal type IRI. A *vocabulary* is a set of [names](#).

- **prázdny graf**

The *empty graph* is the empty set of triples.

- **podgraf**

A *subgraph* of an RDF graph is a subset of the triples in the graph. A triple is identified with the singleton set containing it, so that each triple in a graph is considered to be a subgraph.

- **vlastný podgraf**

A *proper subgraph* is a proper subset of the triples in the graph.

- **základný graf**

A *ground* RDF graph is one that contains no blank nodes.

- **inštancia grafu**

Suppose that M is a functional mapping from a set of blank nodes to some set of literals, blank nodes and IRIs. Any graph obtained from a graph G by replacing some or all of the blank nodes N in G by $M(N)$ is an *instance* of G .

- **inštancia grafu vzhľadom na slovník**

An *instance with respect to* a vocabulary V is an [instance](#) in which all the [names](#) in the instance that were substituted for blank nodes in the original are [names](#) from V .

Pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/#notation-and-terminology>

Definícia izomorfizmu grafov

Two [RDF graphs](#) G and G' are *isomorphic* (that is, they have an identical form) if there is a bijection M between the sets of nodes of the two graphs, such that:

1. M maps blank nodes to blank nodes.
2. $M(lit)=lit$ for all [RDF literals](#) lit which are nodes of G .
3. $M(iri)=iri$ for all [IRIs](#) iri which are nodes of G .
4. The triple (s, p, o) is in G if and only if the triple $(M(s), p, M(o))$ is in G'

Pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-concepts/#graph-isomorphism>

Zjednotenie a zlúčenie grafov

Pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/#shared-blank-nodes-unions-and-merges>

Definícia jednoduchéj interpretácie.

Jednoduchá interpretácia je päťica $I = (IR, IP, IEXT, IS, IL)$ kde:

1. A non-empty set IR of resources, called the domain or universe of I.
2. A set IP, called the set of properties of I.
3. A mapping IEXT from IP into the powerset of IR x IR i.e. the set of sets of pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$ with x and y in IR .
4. A mapping IS from IRs into (IR union IP)
5. A partial mapping IL from literals into IR

Pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/#simple-interpretations>

Definícia pravdivostnej hodnoty grafu.

Základný graf E je pravdivý v jednoduchéj interpretácii I ak sú splnené nasledujúce **sémantické podmienky pre základný graf**:

Semantic conditions for ground graphs.

if E is a literal then $I(E) = IL(E)$

if E is an IRI then $I(E) = IS(E)$

if E is a ground triple s p o. then $I(E) = \text{true}$ if
 $I(p)$ is in IP and the pair $\langle I(s), I(o) \rangle$ is in IEXT($I(p)$)
otherwise $I(E) = \text{false}$.

if E is a ground RDF graph then $I(E) = \text{false}$ if $I(E') = \text{false}$ for some triple E' in E, otherwise $I(E) = \text{true}$.

Graf E je pravdivý v jednoduchéj interpretácii I ak sú navyše splnené nasledujúce **sémantické podmienky pre prázdne uzly**:

Semantic condition for blank nodes.

If E is an RDF graph then $I(E) = \text{true}$ if $[I+A](E) = \text{true}$ for some mapping A from the set of blank nodes in E to IR, otherwise $I(E) = \text{false}$.

Pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/#simple-interpretations>

Jednoduchý dôsledok.

Nech E je graf, hovoríme, že

- interpretácia I **jednoducho spĺňa E** (resp. E je pravdivý v I, I je modelom E), ak...

Following standard terminology, we say that I (simply) *satisfies* E when $I(E) = \text{true}$,

- E je **jednoducho splniteľný** resp. **nesplniteľný** ak...

that E is (simply) *satisfiable* when a simple interpretation exists which satisfies it, otherwise (simply) *unsatisfiable*,

- E **jednoducho vyplýva** z grafu G (je jednoduchým dôsledkom G) ak...

and that a graph G simply *entails* a graph E when every interpretation which satisfies G also satisfies E.

- grafy E a F sú **jednoducho logicky ekvivalentné** ak...

If two graphs E and F each entail the other then they are logically *equivalent*.

Pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/#simpleentailment>

Vlastnosti jednoduchého dôsledku.

- **Interpolačná lemma:**

G simply entails a graph E if and only if a subgraph of G is an instance of E.

Ďalšie vlastnosti pozri: <https://www.w3.org/TR/rdf11-mt/#properties-of-simple-entailment-informative>